

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

NOV -5 2014

Mr. Michael Corwin, Treasurer Independent Source PAC 11024 Montgomery Blvd. NE #128 Albuquerque, NM 87111

RE: MUR 6701

Dear Corwin:

On December 11, 2012, the Federal Election Commission notified you of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. On October 23, 2014, based upon the information contained in the complaint, and information provided by you, the Commission decided to dismiss the allegation that Independent Source PAC misreported cash on hand balances, in violation of 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(1), (4) and (6)(B)(v) (formerly 2 U.S.C. § 434(b)(1), (4), and (6)(B)(v)), and close its file in this matter. Accordingly, the Commission closed its file in this October 23, 2014.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66,132 (Dec. 14, 2009). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which explains the Commission's findings, is enclosed for your information.

If you have any questions, please contact Wanda Brown, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

*(* )·

BY: Jeff S. Jordan

Assistant General Counsel Complaints Examination and Legal Administration

Enclosure
Factual and Legal Analysis

1 2	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS	
3		
4 5 6 7	RESPONDENTS: Independent Source PAC MUR 6701 and Michael Corwin as treasurer	
8	I.	INTRODUCTION
9	This	natter was generated by a complaint filed by Mark Knoop, Executive Director of
10	the Republican Party of New Mexico, alleging violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act	
11	of 1971, as amended (the "Act") <sup>1</sup> , and Commission regulations by Independent Source PAC and	
12	Michael Corwin in his official capacity as treasurer (collectively the "Committee"). <sup>2</sup> After	
13	reviewing the record, the Commission dismissed the allegation as to the Committee.	
14	II.	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
15	Α.	Factual Background
16	Complainant alleges a discrepancy between the Committee's ending cash on hand	
17	balance of \$254,625.45 reported in its 2012 July Quarterly Report and its beginning cash on	
18	hand balance of \$9,165.28 reported in its 2012 October Quarterly Report. <sup>3</sup> Compl. at 1 (Dec. 5,	
19	2012).	

On September 1, 2014, the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), was transferred from Title 2 of the United States Code to new Title 52 of the United States Code.

The Complainant and Respondents were parties in MUR 6573, which concerned allegations that the Committee failed to disclose independent expenditures and failed to include proper disclaimers in television advertisements. As to these Respondents, the Commission found no reason to believe that the Committee violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(g) (formerly 2 U.S.C. § 434(g)) and dismissed allegations that the Committee violated 52 U.S.C. § 30120(d) (formerly 2 U.S.C. § 441d).

In addition to alleging violations falling under the Act, the Complainant alleges other illegal conduct related to "receiving and distributing emails that were stolen from Governor [Susana] Martinez's political committee." Complainant claims that the FBI is investigating the issue. Compl. at 1.

i The Committee admits that there was a discrepancy between the relevant cash on hand 2 balances, but attributes the difference to a "technical glitch" that it claims occurred when the 3 funds from two receipts itemized on its Schedule A, report of receipts, were disbursed and 4 itemized on its Schedule B, report of disbursements, but were not subtracted from the available cash on hand by the FEC software. Resp. at 1-2 (Dec. 31, 2012). Specifically, the Committee 5 6 claims that a \$30,000 receipt on April 11, 2012, from the Communication Workers of America 7 ("CWA") was transferred from its federal account to its state account on April 12, 2012, and that 8 a \$220,000 receipt on June 11, 2012, from CWA was refunded as an excessive contribution for 9 "bookkeeping purposes" on June 20, 2012. Id. 10 The Committee claimed that it itemized both as disbursements on its Schedule B, but that the FEC software did not automatically subtract them from the total receipts, which resulted 11 12 in an inflated cash on hand balance on the Committee's 2012 July Quarterly Report. Id. at 2. According to an e-mail attached to the Response, on June 12, 2012, the Committee Treasurer 13 spoke with a representative in the Electronic Filing Office regarding "technical issues of [his] 14 own creation." Resp., Attach. 15 In January 2013, the Reports Analysis Division ("RAD") sent a Request for Additional 16 Information ("RFAI") to the Committee regarding the cash on hand discrepancy.<sup>4</sup> In February 17 2013, the Committee amended its 2012 July Quarterly Report to disclose the Committee's 18 \$30,000 transfer to its state account and the \$220,000 refund to CWA, and to correct the 19

RFA1 (April 4, 2013) available at http://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/093/13330028093/13330028093.pdf.

4

10

11

14

15

17

18

19

MUR 6701 (Independent Source PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 3

- 1 resulting cash on hand balance.<sup>5</sup> It appears that the Committee has properly amended its
- 2 disclosure reports to accurately reflect the disbursements in question and to disclose an accurate
- 3 cash on hand balance in its 2012 July Quarterly Report.

## B. Legal Analysis

5 Committees are required to disclose disbursements and cash on hand balances accurately.

6 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(1), (4), and (6)(B)(v) (formerly 2 U.S.C. § 434(b)(1), (4), and (6)(B)(v));

7 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(a)(1) and (b). Committees are also responsible for the timely and complete

8 filing of disclosure reports and for the accuracy of the information contained therein. 11 C.F.R.

9 § 104.14(d). Here, the Committee acknowledges that the ending cash on hand balance reported

in its 2012 July Quarterly Report was inaccurate. The Committee claims that the error was due

to an FEC software failure. We note that the Committee's Treasurer apparently sought

12 assistance in amending a different disclosure report and an FEC representative was able to walk

13 him through filing the amendment. Resp., Attach. However, the information provided in the

Response does not discuss a software failure or indicate that Mr. Corwin sought assistance from

the Electronic Filing Office regarding the disbursements in question. Id. Thus, it is possible that

the error was due to the incorrect entry of the disbursements. Nevertheless, the Committee

promptly responded to an RFAI in order to amend its disclosure reports.

Exercising its prerogative to order its priorities, the Commission determined that further resources were not warranted. Accordingly, the Commission exercised its prosecutorial

discretion and dismissed this matter pursuant to Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 2012 July Quarterly Report of Receipts and Disbursements (Amended Feb. 15, 2013) available at <a href="http://docquery.lec.gov/pdf/515/13960938515/13960938515.pdf">http://docquery.lec.gov/pdf/515/13960938515/13960938515.pdf</a>.